IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 286 of 1999
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 116 of 1995
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 126 of 1999
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 156 of 1995
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 158 of 2000
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 166 of 1998
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 189 of 1998
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 192 of 1996
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 207 of 1997
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 216 of 1999
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 226 of 1999
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 228 of 1998
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 228 of 1999
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 237 of 2000
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 244 of 1996
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 295 of 1996
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 356 of 1999
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 357 of 1999
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 360 of 1999
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 5 of 1999
With
R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 79 of 1995
With

R/COMPANY PETITION NO. 92 of 1998

==========

FORTIS FINANCIAL SERVICES LTD.

Versus

GSL(INDIA) LTD.

==========

Appearance:
ADVOCATE NAME DELETED(26) for the PETITIONER(s) No. 1
MR PC KAVINA(400) for the PETITIONER(s) No. 1
MR PAVAN S GODIAWALA(2936) for the RESPONDENT(s) No. 1

==========

CORAM: **HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.M.CHHAYA**

Date : 19/09/2018

COMMON ORAL ORDER

Though called out thrice, none appears for any of the petitioners. Mr. Pavan Godiawala, learned advocate for the respondent is present.

In opinion of this Court, none of the petitioners are interested in any of the matters as the matters are pending since long without any orders. In facts of this case therefore, now it is not a fit case for exercise of discretion under Sections 433 and/or 434 of the Companies Act, 1956 that too, after a long lapse of almost 20 years. Hence, the petitions are dismissed. Liberty to revive in case of difficulty.

(R.M.CHHAYA, J)

MAULIK R. PANDYA